

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/693,415		10/20/2000	Kia Silverbrook	NPA011US	1266
24011	7590	08/11/2006		EXAM	IINER
SILVERBR	OOK RE	ESEARCH PTY L	PHAM, THIERRY L		
393 DARLIN	NG STRE	ET			
BALMAIN, NSW 2041			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALISTRALL	۸			2625	

DATE MAILED: 08/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/693,415	SILVERBROOK ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thierry L. Pham	2624
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 C 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under the 	s action is non-final. Ince except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-3,6-11,14 and 15 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3,6-11,14 and 15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accompany and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.	wn from consideration. or election requirement. er. cepted or b) □ objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Motice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D. 5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) ate Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/15/05</u> .	6) Other:	atom rippiloditori (i 10-104)

DETAILED ACTION

• This action is responsive to the following communication: RCE filed on 10/13/05 and Petition for Revival of an abandoned application.

- Claims 1-3, 6-11, 14-15 are pending; claims 4-5,12-13 have been canceled.
- Amendment After Final filed on 8/15/05 has been entered.
- IDS filed on 8/15/05 has been considered and entered by the examiner.
- Petition has been granted and was mailed on 7/17/06.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/13/05 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3, 6, 8-9, 11, 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dymetman et al (U.S. 6330976), Ur (U.S. 6072871), and further in view of Markowitz (U.S. 5513254).

Regarding claim 1, Dymetman discloses a method of delivering a document directly to a user on demand (delivering digital documents directly to users in real-time basis, fig. 14-15, col.

Application/Control Number: 09/693,415 Page 3

Art Unit: 2625

19, lines 33-44, col. 28, lines 4-18, and col. 29, lines 28-38), said method comprising the steps of:

- formatting user requested information in the document (marking medium contains both coded data and human readable information, col. 7, lines 42-58 and col. 17, lines 35-40) so as to include one user interactive element (i.e. marking medium contains coded data which allows users to retrieve digital copy from remote system via using optical pointer 502, fig. 2), to allow the user to effect a response to the information, using a sensing device (optical pointer 502, fig. 2) for transmitting response back to a computer system (computer system, figs. 1-2;
- printing (col. 11, lines 55-60) the document, having advertising material in the advertising space (i.e. sales catalogue contains coded data which allows users to place an order by clicking on an item via using a pointer 502, col. 19, lines 33-42), together with coded data, said coded data being indicative of an identity of the document (identity of the document and zones within the coded marking medium, col. 3, lines 60-67 to col. 4, lines 1-23) and of the at least one interactive element.

Dymetman discloses a marking medium contains both coded data and human readable information (col. 14, lines 39-45, col. 35-39, and col. 19, lines 33-42) but fails to teach and/or suggest an inkjet printer prints (i.e. interactive printed document) the coded data at the same time as printing the document on the surface defining structure

Ur, in the same field of endeavor for printing, teaches an ink jet printer (printer 17, fig. 1) prints the coded data at the same time as printing the document on the surface defining structure (prints coded data 27 and document texts as shown in fig. 2 at the same time, col. 4, lines 41-47. the examiner herein interprets document as shown in fig. 2 is an interactive printed document).

However, the combinations Dymetman and Ur do not teach and/or suggest a method for identifying an advertising space outside an area of the document to be occupied by the information.

Markowitz, in the same field of endeavor for printing, teaches a method for identifying an advertising space outside (identifying an available white space within the document, fig. 4, abstract, col. 4, lines 45-57) an area of the document (i.e. below the text/graphic area, fig. 4c) to be occupied by the information.

Application/Control Number: 09/693,415

Art Unit: 2625

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Ur and Dymetman as per teaching of Markowitz because of a following reason: (1) a sensing device for sensing invisible coded data incorporated within the document (Dymetman, col. 12, lines 65-67); (2) printing an advertisement on an available white space of the document preventing an overlap between an advertisement and the document (3) reduce hardware costs and time by printing both coded data and document data simultaneously.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Markowitz, Dymetman, and Ur to obtain the invention as specified in claims 1 & 9.

Regarding claims 3 & 11, Markowitz further discloses a method as claimed in claim 1 wherein the information is formatted at a publication server (fax server, fig. 3, col. 6, lines 1-54) of the computer system and the method includes the publication server monitoring the said area and, once the space is identified, receiving the advertising material from an advertising server (selecting advertisement from database, fig. 2, col. 6, lines 54), for including in the document.

Regarding claims 6 & 14, Dymetman further teaches a method as claimed in claim 5, which includes printing the coded data to be substantially invisible (col. 11, lines 45-50 and col. 12, lines 60-67) in the visible spectrum.

Regarding claim 8, Dymetman further teaches a method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the sensing device (reference 502, fig. 1-2, fig. 8) includes an identification code (network address, fig. 8, col. 9, lines 24-45) specific to a particular user and the method includes monitoring (server, col. 5, lines 10-36) of the sensing device in the computer system.

Claims 2, 7, 10, 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Markowitz, Dymetman, and Ur as applied to claims 1 and/or 9 above, and further in view of Reiter (U.S. 6178411).

Regarding claims 2 & 10, the combinations of Markowitz, Dymetman, and Ur do not explicitly teach a method wherein the advertising space is determined to be on a reverse side of the document relative to the user requested information.

Application/Control Number: 09/693,415

Art Unit: 2625

Reiter, in the same field of endeavor for advertising distribution, teaches a method wherein the advertising space is determined to be on a reverse side of the document relative to the user requested information (col. 2, lines 42-57 and col. 11, lines 1-4).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Markowitz, Dymetman, Ur as per teachings of Reiter because of a following reason: (1) printing advertisements/coupons on the back/reverse side of the document provides another option/way of distributing ads; therefore, increasing ads distribution flexibilities.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Markowitz, Dymetman, Ur with Reiter to obtain the invention as s pecified in claims 2 & 10.

Regarding claims 7 & 15, Reiter further teaches retaining a retrievable record of the printed document (print report, col. 5, lines 50-67).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 & 9 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection in view of different interpretations of previous applied arts of record.

• Regarding claims 1 & 9, the applicants argued the cited prior art of record (US 6330976 to Dymetman) fails to teach and/or suggest delivering of printed interactive document directly to users on demand via an inkjet printer.

In response, examiner notes that newly added limitations (interactive printed document via using inkjet printer) are not previously cited in claims 1 & 9. However, Ur (US 6072871) teaches an inkjet printer for delivering an interactive printed document (fig. 2) directly to a user on demand via using computer system (ref. 1 of fig. 1). It is well known in the art that printing system is based upon real-time basis (i.e. a document is printed as upon requested by the user). Document 19 as shown in fig. 2 of Ur contains textual/image information along with coded data is herein

Application/Control Number: 09/693,415 Page 6

Art Unit: 2625

interpreted as "interactive printed document". In addition, output document with different types printed coded data (i.e. barcode containing document ID) is well known.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

• US 6081261 to Wolff et al teaches an example of printed document containing encoded interactive barcode.

• US 5754308 to Lopresti et al teaches an example of printed document containing encoded interactive barcode.

• US 6137590 to Mori teaches an example of printed document containing encoded interactive barcode.

• US 6537324 to Tabata et al teaches an example of printed document containing encoded interactive barcode.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thierry L. Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-7439. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:30 AM - 6:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David K. Moore can be reached on (571)272-7437. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thierry L. Pham

GABRIEL GÀACIA PRIMARY EXAMINER